	Case 1:24-cv-00267-GSA Documen	t 3 Filed 03/06/24	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	STEDVIENO D'BARGE MAYES,	1:24-cv-00267-G	SA (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	v.		
14	MULE CREEK STATE PRISON WARDEN et al.,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42		
18	U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915		
19	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged		
20	violations took place in Amador County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United		
21 22	States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have		
23	been filed in the Sacramento Division.		
24	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper		
25	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action		
26	will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to		
27	proceed in forma pauperis.		
28	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
	The same of the sa	1	

## 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California sitting in Sacramento; and 2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be filed at: **United States District Court** Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814 3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Gary S. Austin Dated: **March 5, 2024** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE